$\qquad$ Class: $\qquad$

## Forces in Baseball

## GRADES 6-8

|  | Throw 1 = 10 meters |  |  | Throw 2 = 10 meters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mass of ball: 0.145 kg | Time(s) | Velocity (m/s) | Kinetic Energy (Joules) | Time(s) | Velocity (m/s) | Kinetic Energy (Joules) |
| Student 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | ow 3 = 10 met | ers |  | ow 4 = 10 me |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mass of ball: } \\ & 0.145 \mathrm{~kg} \end{aligned}$ | Time(s) | Velocity (m/s) | Kinetic Energy (Joules) | Time(s) | Velocity (m/s) | Kinetic Energy (Joules) |
| Student 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1. What percent of the Aroldis Chapman throw ( 105.1 MPH ) was your fastest pitch? Example: If you threw at $45 \mathrm{MPH} / 150 \mathrm{MPH}=.3$ or $30 \%$, your fastest throw was only 30\% as fast as Aroldis Chapman's throw.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Forces in Baseball

## GRADES 6-8

Graph the kinetic energy vs. your velocity for each throw from slowest to fastest.

2. Based on your data/graph, explain the relationship between velocity and kinetic energy by making a claim about the relationship. Support your claim with evidence and reasoning.

Claim: What is the relationship between velocity and kinetic energy?

Evidence: Record and reference in words any data that supports your claim.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
Reasoning: Explain why your claim is supported by evidence and scientific ideas. Use the kinetic energy equation to support you.
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## Composition of a Baseball

## GRADES 6-8

1. What were some of the constraints for the first baseball? Why do you think players felt they needed to make changes?
2. How has the anatomy of a baseball changed over time? What drove this change?
3. How have the materials of a baseball changed over time? How do you think the change from natural to synthetic cores impacted play?
4. Explain the importance of the governing bodies setting clear criteria for the baseball's design?
5. How did Major League Baseball ensure that all baseballs were the same size in 2011? In 1974? Why was this important to the game?
6. What is the purpose of this article? Provide evidence from the text to support your claim.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Composition of a Baseball

## GRADES 6-8

Using the article and classroom discussion, fill in the following criteria and constraints table.

## Criteria

Constraints

|  | Distance of <br> Hit 1 | Distance of <br> Hit 2 | Distance of <br> Hit 3 | Distance of <br> Hit 4 | Distance of <br> Hit 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Baseball |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tennis Ball |  |  |  |  |  |
| Softball |  |  |  |  |  |
| Golf Ball |  |  |  |  |  |

Name: $\qquad$
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## Composition of a Baseball

## GRADES 6-8

Based on evidence from the article and your experiment, write a claim and support it with evidence and reasoning, explaining how and why a baseball behaves differently than a tennis, softball, and golf ball.

Claim: How did the evolution of the baseball create a design that has a different function than a tennis ball, softball and golf ball?

Evidence: Record and reference in words any data that supports your claim.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

Reasoning: Explain why your claim is supported by evidence and scientific ideas. Use the ideas in your test and your understanding of engineering an object for a specific function.

## The Field of Play

## GRADES 6-8

6th Grade Specific Standards
Plot each player on the coordinate plane. Label their $x, y$ coordinates.


Center field:

Left Field:
Right Field:

Pitcher:

First Base:

Second Base:

Shortstop:

Third Base:

Catcher:

Name: $\qquad$

## The Field of Play

## GRADES 6-8

## 6th Grade Questions

## Use the coordinate plane to determine the absolute value between players.

1. How far would the Second Base player need to throw to the Shortstop?
2. How far would the Third Base player need to throw to the First Base player?
3. How far would the Pitcher need to throw to the Catcher?
4. How far does the Center Fielder need to throw to the Pitcher?
5. If the First Baseman ran to $(0,6)$ to catch the ball and then needed to throw to Home to make the play, how far would he/she throw?
6. If the Catcher (-11) was trying to throw out a runner stealing Third, how far would he/she throw?

## The Field of Play

## GRADES 6-8

## 8th Grade Specific Standards

Plot each player on the coordinate plane. Label their $x, y$ coordinates.


Center Field:

Left Field:

Right Field:

Pitcher:

First Base:

Second Base:

Shortstop:

Third Base:

Catcher:

Name: $\qquad$

## The Field of Play

GRADES 6-8

## 8th Grade Questions

1. Use the distance between the Pitcher and First Base (A), and the Pitcher and Catcher (B). Use the Pythagorean Theorem to calculate the distance between First Base and Catcher. $\mathrm{A}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}=\mathrm{C}^{2}$
2. Use the distance between the Pitcher and Third Base (A), and the Pitcher and Catcher (B). Use the Pythagorean Theorem to calculate the distance between Third Base and Catcher. $\mathrm{A}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}=\mathrm{C}^{2}$
3. Use the distance between the Pitcher and Center Field (A), and the Pitcher and Third Base (B). Use the Pythagorean Theorem to calculate the distance between Third Base and Center Field. $\mathrm{A}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}=\mathrm{C}^{2}$
4. Use the distance between the Pitcher and Center Field (A), and the Pitcher and First Base (B). Use the Pythagorean Theorem to calculate the distance between First Base and Center Field. $\mathrm{A}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}=\mathrm{C}^{2}$
5. The Catcher moves to ( $6,-11$ ). Use the distance between First Base and Catcher ( $A$ ) and First Base and Pitcher (B). Use the Pythagorean Theorem to calculate the distance between the Pitcher and Catcher. $\mathrm{A}^{2}+$ $\mathrm{B}^{2}=\mathrm{C}^{2}$
6. The Right Fielder moves to $(4,7)$ in line with the Second Base player. Use the distance between the Second Base player and the Right Fielder (A) and the Second Base player and Shortstop (B). Use the Pythagorean Theorem to calculate the distance between Right Field and Shortstop. $\mathrm{A}^{2}+\mathrm{B}^{2}=\mathrm{C}^{2}$
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## The Art of Pitching

## GRADES 6-8

What variables do you need to control?

| Pitching <br> Motion | Speed <br> (measured <br> by radar) <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ | Time of travel <br> (From video) <br> s | Acceleration <br> (Calculated <br> (Sl-SF)/time) <br> $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}^{2}$ | Mass <br> kg | Force <br> F=MA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Windup |  |  |  |  |  |
| N(newtons) |  |  |  |  |  |

How does a change in force affect a change in motion? Support your answer with evidence from the experiment.
$\qquad$ Class: $\qquad$

## Engineering a Pitching Machine

 GRADES 6-8Identify the Problem: What is the problem you are trying to solve?

Brainstorm multiple designs
$\square$
Select a single design (draw in detail, label materials and provide measurements)

Name: $\qquad$
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## Engineering a Pitching Machine

## GRADES 6-8

Build, Design, and Test It: Your test should be a controlled experiment; the table is provided to support your data collection. Consider ensuring the target, energy input, pitcher, and ball are the same.

|  | Test 1 | Test 2 | Test 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Over the plate <br> but low |  |  |  |
| Over the plate <br> but high |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Directly over <br> the plate |  |  |  |

Communicate: Did it work? What evidence supports that it works? Would you make any changes?
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Success at the Plate

## GRADES 6-8

$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Hit} \quad \mathrm{O}=$ No Hit
Trial 1

| Swings | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 | S9 | S10 | Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hitter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Hit} \quad \mathrm{O}=$ No Hit
Trial 2

| Swings | S1 | S2 | S3 | S4 | S5 | S6 | S7 | S8 | S9 | S10 | Probability |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Hitter |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Graph the probability of each trial below:
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## Success at the Plate

## GRADES 6-8

1. Based on Trial 1 probability, how many successful hits would you have out of 100 hits?
2. Based on Trial 1 probability, how many successful hits would you have out of 1,000 hits?
3. Based on Trial 1 probability, how many successful hits would you have out of 10,000 hits?
4. Based on Trial 2 probability, how many successful hits would you have out of 100 hits?
5. Based on Trial 2 probability, how many successful hits would you have out of 1,000 hits?
6. Based on Trial 2 probability, how many successful hits would you have out of 10,000 hits?

Based on this information, were you more or less successful before or after watching the video and implementing a change to your swing? Support your answer with data.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Keeping Score

## GRADES 6-8

Option 1: Scoring the Game


Option 2: Scoring the game
Add a tally mark as needed.

| Innings | Runs |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Team 1 | Team 2 |
| 1 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |
|  |  |  |

Name: $\qquad$
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## Keeping Score

## GRADES 6-8

Team 1

| Innings | Strikes (3) |  |  |  | Balls (4) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hitter 1 | Hitter 2 | Hitter 3 | Hitter 4 | Hitter 1 | Hitter 2 | Hitter 3 | Hitter 4 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Team 2

| Innings | Strikes (3) |  |  |  |  | Balls (4) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hitter 1 | Hitter 2 | Hitter 3 | Hitter 4 | Hitter 1 | Hitter 2 | Hitter 3 | Hitter 4 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$

## Keeping Score

## GRADES 6-8

Put your data above in ratios and simplify to unit rate in the tables below.
Strike to Pitch

|  | Ratio | Unit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Inning |  |  |
| 2nd Inning |  |  |
| 3rd Inning |  |  |
| 4th Inning |  |  |

## Balls to Pitch

|  | Ratio | Unit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Inning |  |  |
| 2nd Inning |  |  |
| 3rd Inning |  |  |
| 4th Inning |  |  |

Runs to Batters

|  | Ratio | Unit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Inning |  |  |
| 2nd Inning |  |  |
| 3rd Inning |  |  |
| 4th Inning |  |  |

Name: $\qquad$ Class: $\qquad$

## Keeping Score

## GRADES 6-8

Inning score to total score

|  | Ratio | Unit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Inning |  |  |
| 2nd Inning |  |  |
| 3rd Inning |  |  |
| 4th Inning |  |  |

## Team 1 total score / Team 2 total score

|  | Ratio | Unit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Inning |  |  |
| 2nd Inning |  |  |
| 3rd Inning |  |  |
| 4th Inning |  |  |

## Team 1 inning score / Team 2 inning score

|  | Ratio | Unit Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st Inning |  |  |
| 2nd Inning |  |  |
| 3rd Inning |  |  |
| 4th Inning |  |  |

$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Keeping Score

## GRADES 6-8

Answer the questions:

1. Based on the Run to Hitter ratios, who is a more effective pitcher? How do the ratios support your claim?
2. Based on your inning Runs to Total Runs ratio, which inning was the best played inning? How do the ratios support your claim?
3. If your team out scores the other team at a ratio of 2:1, what will the score be for each inning and the end of the game?

| Innings | Opponent Score | Your Score |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 |  |
| 2 | 2 |  |
| 3 | 4 |  |
| 4 | 3 |  |
| 5 | 0 |  |
| 6 | 1 |  |
| 7 | 2 |  |
| 8 | 1 |  |
| 9 | 3 |  |
| Total |  |  |

Name: $\qquad$

## Keeping Score

## GRADES 6-8

4. If a pitcher's ratio of pitches to strikes is $5: 2$, how many strikes will the pitcher throw during a game of 50 pitches?
5. If a relief pitcher strikes out a player $1 / 3$ times, what is their ratio of strikes to pitches?

Name: $\qquad$
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## Advancements in Baseball

## GRADES 6-8

After review of GamePlan:

## Pitching

Rate your pitches: best to worst. Explain your reasoning.

## Swinging

Rate your swings/hits: best to worst. Explain your reasoning.

Problem: In Baseball, comprehensive skills (swinging and pitching) happen more quickly than we can see and analyze. How can coaches and players better analyze their skills?

Brainstorm a list of criteria and constraints with students and display below:
Criberia $\quad$ Constraints
$\qquad$ Class: $\qquad$

## Advancements in Baseball <br> GRADES 6-8

After review of Performance Technology:

## Pitching

Rate your pitches: best to worst. Explain your reasoning. What evidence did you collect from the technology? $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Swinging

Rate your swings/hits: best to worst. Explain your reasoning. What evidence did you collect from the technology?

Which is the best solution to the problem that coaches and players need a better way to analyze their skills: GamePlan Technology, Performance Technology or a redesign? These questions will help support your writing: Which technology - GamePlan or Performance Technology - better supports a player improving their skills? What are the similarities and differences from each technology? How do both technologies meet or not meet the criteria/constraints brainstormed in the Explain section? What improvements would you make to both technologies?
$\qquad$ Class: $\qquad$

## Capstone <br> GRADES 6-8

## Game Simulation

## Probability generators:

- https://stattrek.com/statistics/random-number-generator.aspx
- Dice (ten sided)
- Numbers in a hat (0-9)
- https://www.omnicalculator.com/statistics/dice
- Random.org
- \#numbers 1-999


## Inning one:

Offense: Hitting
(Probability generator 1-999 needed)
Using the batting average probability, determine if you'got a hit'. Mark a hit with a "O."
To determine if you "got a hit," use the probability generator. If you roll, select or draw a number within your probability: you "got a hit."
Example: Your player's batting average is 0.362 . You roll a 272, you 'got a hit'. You roll a 585 or 891, no hit.

|  | Player 1 | Player 2 | Player 3 | Player 4 | Player 5 | Player 6 | Player 7 | Player 8 | Player 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Team 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Team 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*Ifyou have a player with a Slugging Percentage probability greater than 0.450, score one (1) run per inning.
Defense: Outfield Play and Pitching
(Probability generator 1-9 needed)

1. Review your put-out probabilities. Roll the dice for every hit the opposing team made. If you roll a number within your probability, cross out a hit. For example, If your put out probability is 0.2 and you roll a 2 , cross out a hit. If you roll a 3-9 all hits remain.
2. Review your error probabilities. Roll the dice for every hit the opposing team made. If you roll a number within your probability, put a ' 2 ' in the circle: the hitter got a double.
For example: If you have an error probability of 0.6, anything you roll 1-6 the hitter scores a double.

Name: $\qquad$ Class: $\qquad$

Repeat for as many innings as your teacher assigned.

## Score:

1. Review your opponent's pitcher's ERA (earned run average). For every single (O) hit you made within the average is a run. If the average is 2 , and you have 10 hits, you score 2 runs.
2. Count your remaining hits for every four bases you score a run. $(\mathrm{O})=1$ base; ( $\mathrm{O} \mathrm{w} /$ two inside ) = two bases. Unlike regular baseball players on base in one inning can be used for runs in a different inning. For example: If you had a double and three singles in the 1 st inning. And three singles in $2 n d$ inning. You would have a total of 2 runs.

|  | Player 1 | Player 2 | Player 3 | Player 4 | Player 5 | Player 6 | Player 7 | Player 8 | Player 9 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Team 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Team 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Pitchers

|  | Defensive |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Player Name | ERA (earned run average) | ERA (earned run average) |
| Billy Smith | 3.00 | Good |
| Christie Clark | 2.00 | Very good |
| Christopher Marks | 1.00 | Excellent |
| Darren Willis | 4.00 | Fair |
| Hannah Wall | 5.00 | Poor |
| Jack Snell | 5.00 | Poor |
| James Knight | 4.00 | Fair |
| Jamie Welch | 2.00 | Very good |
| Kellie Wallace | 7.00 | Poor |
| Larry Smith | 3.00 | Good |
| Matt Davis | 4.00 | Fair |
| Matt Palmer | 8.00 | Poor |
| Megan Reagan | 10.00 | Poor |
| Mick Stein | 2.00 | Very good |
| Miles Corey | 12.00 | Poor |
| Nellie Ward | 6.00 | Poor |
| Phil Bradley | 5.00 | Poor |
| William Bradshaw | 3.00 | Good |

# Hitters + Fielders 

|  | OFFENSE |  |  |  | DEFENSE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Player Name | BA (Batting Average) | BA (Batting Average) | SLG (Slugging Percertage \% | SLG (Slugging Percertage \%) | Put Outs | Put Out | Errors | Errors |
| Addison Rush | 0.274 | Fair | 0.472 | Good | 0.7 | Very Good | 0.6 | Poor |
| Adrian Steele | 0.236 | Poor | 0.409 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.2 | Very good |
| Alejandro Philip | 0.345 | Excellent | 0.667 | Excellent | 0.9 | Excellent | 0.4 | Fair |
| Alex Donovan | 0.277 | Good | 0.461 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.3 | Good |
| Andre Whitaker | 0.302 | Very good | 0.488 | Good | 0.9 | Excellent | 0.2 | Very Good |
| Anna Kelly | 0.264 | Fair | 0.403 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair | 0.9 | Poor |
| Ari Goodman | 0.203 | Poor | 0.273 | Poor | 0.7 | Very good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Ariel Boyer | 0.281 | Good | 0.387 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.3 | Good |
| Armani Curtis | 0.255 | Fair | 0.491 | Good | 0.3 | Poor | 0.8 | Poor |
| Averi Norris | 0.304 | Very good | 0.406 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Bernard Greer | 0.291 | Good | 0.388 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair |
| Bo Friedman | 0.214 | Poor | 0.353 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.4 | Fair |
| Braelyn Rios | 0.299 | Good | 0.566 | Very good | 0.3 | Poor | 0.8 | Poor |
| Bruce Wallace | 0.266 | Fair | 0.408 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.2 | Very good |
| Carl Williams | 0.231 | Poor | 0.391 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.6 | Poor |
| Carmen Yang | 0.265 | Fair | 0.441 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.9 | Poor |
| Casey Finnegan | 0.362 | Excellent | 0.714 | Excellent | 0.3 | Poor | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Caylee Kennedy | 0.268 | Fair | 0.399 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Cecilia McGiffin | 0.222 | Poor | 0.422 | Fair | 0.6 | Good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Charles Corsini | 0.275 | Fair | 0.494 | Good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Chris Kim | 0.194 | Poor | 0.303 | Fair | 0.9 | Excellent | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Chris Wilson | 0.283 | Good | 0.443 | Good | 0.4 | Fair | 0.6 | Poor |
| Claire Oswald | 0.331 | Excellent | 0.584 | Very good | 0.3 | Fair | 0.6 | Poor |
| Dale Michaels | 0.297 | Good | 0.501 | Very good | 0.7 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Damaris Gould | 0.223 | Poor | 0.359 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair | 0.8 | Poor |
| Dan Reynolds | 0.242 | Fair | 0.366 | Fair | 0.7 | Good | 0.3 | Fair |
| Daniel Weiss | 0.279 | Good | 0.555 | Very good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Darrren Monroe | 0.236 | Poor | 0.324 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.5 | Fair |
| Dave Lewis | 0.363 | Excellent | 0.506 | Very good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Devin Walker | 0.281 | Good | 0.401 | Fair | 0.6 | Good | 0.3 | Fair |
| DJ Witmore | 0.258 | Fair | 0.403 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Donald Thorpe | 0.248 | Fair | 0.355 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.7 | Poor |
| Elaina Stewart | 0.196 | Poor | 0.289 | Poor | 0.3 | Fair | 0.9 | Poor |
| Emiliano Hull | 0.366 | Excellent | 0.681 | Excellent | 0.8 | Very good | 0.3 | Good |
| Emilio Gibson | 0.286 | Good | 0.498 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.3 | Good |
| Emily Carr | 0.233 | Poor | 0.378 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair |
| Esmeralda Good | 0.264 | Fair | 0.506 | Good | 0.3 | Poor | 0.3 | Good |
| Ethan Garvey | 0.252 | Fair | 0.413 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.7 | Poor |
| Fred Watkins | 0.289 | Good | 0.492 | Good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Genevieve Mathieu | 0.262 | Fair | 0.371 | Fair | 0.6 | Good | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Grant Rogers | 0.295 | Good | 0.443 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair | 0.3 | Good |
| Haley Park | 0.259 | Fair | 0.401 | Fair | 0.6 | Good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Isabel Bautista | 0.321 | Very good | 0.629 | Excellent | 0.5 | Fair | 0.3 | Good |
| Jaliyah Harris | 0.302 | Very good | 0.473 | Fair | 0.7 | Good | 0.2 | Very good |
| James Brooks | 0.285 | Good | 0.431 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.8 | Poor |
| Jamie Kemp | 0.261 | Fair | 0.483 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Jared Villegas | 0.314 | Very good | 0.436 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Javier Edwards | 0.279 | Good | 0.552 | Good | 0.4 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair |
| Jenna Klink | 0.274 | Fair | 0.472 | Fair | 0.7 | Good | 0.6 | Poor |
| Jerry White | 0.236 | Poor | 0.409 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.2 | Very good |

# Hitters + Fielders 

|  | OFFENSE |  |  |  | DEFENSE |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Player Name | BA (Batting Average) | BA (Batting Average) | SLG (Slugging Percertage \% | SLG (Slugging Percertage \%) | Put Outs | Put Out | Errors | Errors |
| Jordan Johnson | 0.345 | Excellent | 0.667 | Fair | 0.9 | Excellent | 0.4 | Fair |
| Joshua Martin | 0.277 | Good | 0.461 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.3 | Good |
| Julio Daniels | 0.302 | Very good | 0.488 | Good | 0.9 | Excellent | 0.2 | Very good |
| Kalvin Henry | 0.264 | Fair | 0.403 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair | 0.9 | Poor |
| Kathleen Reilly | 0.203 | Poor | 0.273 | Poor | 0.7 | Good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Kathy Jackson | 0.281 | Good | 0.387 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.3 | Good |
| Katie Smith | 0.255 | Fair | 0.491 | Good | 0.3 | Poor | 0.8 | Poor |
| Kenneth Howell | 0.304 | Very good | 0.406 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Kevin Matlock | 0.291 | Good | 0.388 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair | 0.5 | Fair |
| Kevin Watts | 0.214 | Poor | 0.353 | Good | 0.2 | Poor | 0.4 | Fair |
| Kian Lutz | 0.299 | Very good | 0.566 | Very good | 0.3 | Poor | 0.8 | Poor |
| Koen Rodriguez | 0.266 | Fair | 0.408 | Good | 0.5 | Fair | 0.2 | Very good |
| Larry Frazier | 0.231 | Poor | 0.391 | Good | 0.2 | Poor | 0.6 | Poor |
| Laura Matson | 0.265 | Fair | 0.441 | Good | 0.6 | Fair | 0.9 | Poor |
| Lillian Paige | 0.362 | Excellent | 0.714 | Excellent | 0.3 | Fair | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Luca Dean | 0.268 | Fair | 0.399 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Lucy Conner | 0.222 | Poor | 0.422 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Luis Valez | 0.275 | Fair | 0.494 | Good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Lyle Moore | 0.194 | Poor | 0.303 | Good | 0.9 | Excellent | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Madeline Grady | 0.283 | Good | 0.443 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair | 0.6 | Poor |
| Marian Hancock | 0.331 | Very good | 0.584 | Very good | 0.3 | Poor | 0.6 | Poor |
| Mary Oliver | 0.297 | Good | 0.501 | Good | 0.7 | Good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Mary Phillips | 0.223 | Poor | 0.359 | Good | 0.4 | Fair | 0.8 | Poor |
| Melanie McCarthy | 0.242 | Poor | 0.366 | Good | 0.7 | Good | 0.3 | Fair |
| Micheal Polese | 0.279 | Good | 0.555 | Good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Miguel Ortiz | 0.236 | Poor | 0.324 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.5 | Poor |
| Nathalie Herman | 0.363 | Excellent | 0.506 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Nathan Avery | 0.281 | Good | 0.401 | Fair | 0.6 | Good | 0.3 | Good |
| Norman Levi | 0.258 | Fair | 0.403 | Good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Omari Arellano | 0.248 | Fair | 0.355 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.7 | Poor |
| Raphael Savage | 0.196 | Poor | 0.289 | Fair | 0.3 | Poor | 0.9 | Poor |
| Reggie Davis | 0.366 | Excellent | 0.681 | Very good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.3 | Good |
| Rob Lowenthal | 0.286 | Good | 0.498 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.3 | Good |
| Rolando Avery | 0.233 | Poor | 0.378 | Good | 0.5 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair |
| Rose Howe | 0.264 | Fair | 0.506 | Good | 0.3 | Poor | 0.3 | Fair |
| Ryleigh Kelly | 0.252 | Fair | 0.413 | Fair | 0.2 | Poor | 0.7 | Poor |
| Salma Beard | 0.289 | Good | 0.492 | Good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Sean Haggerty | 0.262 | Fair | 0.371 | Fair | 0.6 | Good | 0.1 | Excellent |
| Sharon Kelly | 0.295 | Good | 0.443 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair | 0.3 | Good |
| Sofia Mousseau | 0.259 | Fair | 0.401 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.2 | Very Good |
| Sue Thrive | 0.321 | Fair | 0.629 | Fair | 0.5 | Very good | 0.3 | Very good |
| Taylor Webb | 0.302 | Very good | 0.473 | Good | 0.7 | Good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Ted Dawson | 0.285 | Good | 0.431 | Good | 0.5 | Good | 0.8 | Poor |
| Timothy Ramirez | 0.261 | Fair | 0.483 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.4 | Fair |
| Todd Carlsen | 0.314 | Very good | 0.436 | Fair | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Trevor Blankenship | 0.279 | Good | 0.552 | Very good | 0.4 | Fair | 0.4 | Fair |
| Turner McBribe | 0.196 | Poor | 0.289 | Fair | 0.3 | Poor | 0.9 | Poor |
| Valentina Stevens | 0.366 | Excellent | 0.681 | Very good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.3 | Good |
| William Elston | 0.288 | Good | 0.561 | Good | 0.8 | Very good | 0.2 | Very good |
| Zion Morrison | 0.254 | Fair | 0.508 | Good | 0.6 | Good | 0.6 | Poor |

